

From: PSR(IC)3, PSR(sen)1
Sent: Tue, 31 Aug 2010 16:49:00 +1200
To: PSR(sen)1, PSR(IC)3; WAATEA.SNO
Subject: FW: [SECRET] [ISAF SECRET] External Release Issue External to the Sitrep
Attachments: CIVCAS MEDIA.DOC

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

Gents the attached rpt differs from PSR(IC)3, PSR feedback below re Obj Burnham and, therefore, any civ cas is yet to be proven. Outside of this and taking into account the comment by DSO in that NZDF are still undecided as to whether they will rpt this incident or not, there are a number of very good reasons why any reporting should not occur regardless of how bland it might be. As you know there is a very real threat to security that could result if the kiwis are in any way linked to the Burnham job.

PSR(IC)3, F

CIVCAS MEDIA.DOC

From: PSR(IC)3, PSR(sen)1
Sent: Tuesday, 31 August 2010 3:08 p.m.
To: PSR(IC)3, PSR(sen)1
Subject: FW: [SECRET] [ISAF SECRET] External Release Issue External to the Sitrep

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

We'll need to work together on this to ID options -PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3

From: HQNZDF.DSO [mailto:PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3]
Sent: Monday, 30 August 2010 4:42 p.m.
To: WAATEA.SNO; @CO; @XO
Subject: RE: [SECRET] [ISAF SECRET] External Release Issue External to the Sitrep

PSR(IC)3, PSR(I)

Discussion of future potential force structure

PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3

Cheers

PSR(IC)3

From: WAATEA.SNO **PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3**
Sent: Monday, 30 August 2010 3:53 p.m.
To: HQNZDF.DSO
Subject: RE: [~~SECRET~~] [~~ISAF SECRET~~] External Release Issue External to the Sitrep

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3

Discussion of
potential future
force structure

PSR(IC)3, I

From: HQNZDF.DSO [mailto:**PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3**]
Sent: Monday, 30 August 2010 6:38 a.m.
To: WAATEA.SNO; @CO; SWAN - HQNZDF.ADSF; SWAN - HQNZDF.DDSO; @XO
Subject: RE: [~~ISAF SECRET~~] External Release Issue External to the Sitrep

PSR(IC)3, PSR(

Cheers for this. I will brief these updates tomorrow at the CDF brief. I will have to draft another note to Min Def informing him of the IAT work and outcome, but will wait for CDF direction as to whether he wishes to wait for the final report or he may requires an interim note drafted.

PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3

I have caught up with the latest on ^{PSR(sen)} and will brief CDF tomorrow as well.

PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3

Cheers

PSR(sen)

Request for
comment on future
potential force
structure options

From: WAATEA.SNO [mailto:**PSR(S)1, PSR(S)2**]
Sent: Monday, 30 August 2010 6:59 a.m.
Subject: [~~ISAF SECRET~~] External Release Issue External to the Sitrep

CLASSIFICATION: ~~ISAF SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION: ~~ISAF SECRET~~

Gidday gents

PSR(S)1

Out of scope content explaining the cultural and practical difficulties of partnering with the CRU on a separate operation not under inquiry.

I have also included the post op report for OBJ Burnham and the latest intsum. I do not think we will get much more fidelity on the BDA. The Incident Assessment Team has produced a report on this Op **PSR(S)1** on the issue of CIVCAS claims. The report has found that there is no case to answer for TF81 here. One of the AH64's had a gun that was not firing true and if any CIVCAS has occurred it is here that any blame will probably lie.

PSR(S)1, PSR(S)2, PSR(S)3

This type of CIVCAS claim is not new and is a INS strategy to undermine ISAF operations. RC-N are holding a Shura with District Governor on this tomorrow with IJC representation as well to try and get further to the bottom of this. **PSR(S)1**

I have asked for a copy of the completed report from IJC and will forward this when received. **PSR(S)1**

Comment
on ISAF
investigati
on and
strategy

The LAV Crews and the and the Shadows are currently up in BAMYAN. The shadows are conducting training in how to use the GMG for the Crib team.

Regards

PSR(sen)1

